BART M. DAVIS, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 2696 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY ANN T. WICK, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 7119 KEVIN T. MALONEY, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 5095 ASSISTANTS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DISTRICT OF IDAHO 801 E. SHERMAN AVE SUITE 192 POCATELLO, ID 83201 TELEPHONE: (208) 478-4166

FACSIMILE: (208) 478-4175

### UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 995 HOMER AVE., IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, APN: RPA1800003004O (Record Owner: Stanley G. Gallegos).

Defendant.

Case No. CV-

VERIFIED COMPLAINT IN REM

Plaintiff, United States of America, by and through Bart M. Davis, United States Attorney, and the undersigned Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Idaho, Kevin T. Maloney, brings this complaint and alleges as follows in accordance with Rule G(2) of the Supplemental Rules for Admiralty or Maritime and Asset Forfeiture Actions, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure:

**VERIFIED COMPLAINT IN REM-1** 

### NATURE OF THE ACTION

- 1. This civil forfeiture case is related to a criminal case. The United States will file a motion to stay discovery and other procedures in this civil case until after the criminal case resolves.
- 2. The United States files this civil Verified Complaint *In Rem* (the "Complaint") and contemporaneously a Stipulation for Judgment (the "Stipulation"). This civil *in rem* action relates to a criminal case against Stanley Gallegos (the "Claimant") filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Idaho, being case number CR-18-110-E-DCN (the "Criminal Case"). Claimant's criminal liability will be resolved pursuant to his plea agreement in the Criminal Case. The purpose of this civil Complaint and the Stipulation is to resolve Claimant's potential interest, as an owner or third party claimant, in property subject to forfeiture in the Criminal Case.
- 3. This is an action to forfeit and condemn to the use and benefit of the United States of America the real property described below in connection with violations of 18 U.S.C. § 2252, activities relating to child pornography. This property is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 2254 and 2253, which provide in pertinent part:

### 18 U.S.C. § 2254:

Any property subject to forfeiture pursuant to section 2253 may be forfeited to the United States in a civil case.

### 18 U.S.C. § 2253:

(a) Property Subject to Criminal Forfeiture.—A person who is convicted of an offense under this chapter involving a visual depiction described in section 2251, 2251A, 2252, 2252A, or 2260 of this chapter or who is convicted of an offense under section 2252B of this chapter, or who is convicted of an offense under chapter 109A, shall forfeit to the United States such person's interest in—

- (1) any visual depiction described in section 2251, 2251A, 2252, 2252A, 2252B, or 2260 of this chapter, or any book, magazine, periodical, film, videotape, or other matter which contains any such visual depiction, which was produced, transported, mailed, shipped or received in violation of this chapter;
- (2) any property, real or personal, constituting or traceable to gross profits or other proceeds obtained from such offense; and
- (3) any property, real or personal, used or intended to be used to commit or to promote the commission of such offense or any property traceable to such property.

#### THE DEFENDANT IN REM

4. The following real property is subject to forfeiture in this civil action (hereinafter "Defendant Property"):

Real property located at 995 Homer Ave., Idaho Falls, Idaho, and more particularly described as follows (APN: RPA1800003004O):

Lot 4, Block 3, Packer Addition, Division No. 1, to the City of Idaho Falls, Bonneville County, Idaho, according to the official plat recorded March 21, 1956 as Recorder's Number 243763.

5. The Defendant Property has not been seized. However, in association with Stanley Gallego's Criminal Case, a Lis Pendens was recorded against the property in Bonneville County, Idaho on April 9, 2018 as Instrument No. 1578914. As part of the Plea Agreement (Criminal Case, ECF 20) in the Criminal Case, Stanley Gallegos agreed to the civil forfeiture of his residence as facilitating property pursuant to the crimes alleged under 18 U.S.C. § 2252.

# **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1345, and over an action for forfeiture under 28 U.S.C. § 1355(a) and (b) and 1395. This Court also has jurisdiction over this particular action under 18 U.S.C. § 2254.
  - 7. This Court has *in rem* jurisdiction over the Defendant Property under 28 U.S.C.

١

§ 1355(b)(1), because acts or omissions giving rise to the forfeiture occurred, and the property is found, in this District.

8. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2) because the events giving rise to the claim occurred in this District and the Defendant Property is situated in this District; and pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1395(a) and (b) because the Defendant Property is found in this District. *See also* 28 U.S.C. § 1355(b).

# **BASES FOR FORFEITURE**

9. The Defendant Property is subject to forfeiture pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 2254 (as defined in 2253(a)(3)) as property used or intended to be used to commit or promote the commission of an offense under Section 2252, relating to property used or intended to be used to promote the exploitation of minors and any property traceable to such offenses.

## **FACTS**

10. The stipulated facts in support of this forfeiture action are set forth in the Stipulation, attached hereto as Exhibit A, and the criminal plea agreement. (Criminal Case, ECF 20 at 2-6.)

#### TIMING

10. Claimant's criminal plea agreement contains a provision wherein Claimant agrees to forfeiture of the Defendant Property, except that, if Claimant pays an amount certain prior to his criminal sentencing, the United States will discontinue the forfeiture action against the Defendant Property. (Criminal Case, ECF 20 at 11-12.) Therefore, the United States will move to stay this civil proceeding until after the criminal sentencing.

# **CLAIM FOR RELIEF**

11. WHEREFORE, the United States of America prays that the Court order the Defendant Property forfeited to the United States, that the Court award the United States' costs and disbursements in this action, and that the Court award such other and further relief as this Court deems proper and just.

Respectfully submitted this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of January, 2019.

BART M. DAVIS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY By:

KEVIN T. MALONEY
Assistant United States Attorney

## **VERIFICATION**

I, ANN T. WICK, hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that I am an Assistant United States Attorney for the District of Idaho, that I have read the foregoing Verified Complaint *In Rem* and know the contents thereof, and that the matters contained in the complaint are true to my own knowledge, except those matters not within my own personal knowledge which are alleged on information and belief and as to those matters I believe them to be true.

The sources of my knowledge and information and the grounds of my belief are the official files and records of the United States, information supplied to me by office staff and law enforcement officers, as well as my involvement in the case.

I hereby verify and declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Dated this 7<sup>h</sup> day of January, 2019.

ANN T. WICK

Assistant United States Attorney

District of Idaho

BART M. DAVIS, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 2696 UNITED STATES ATTORNEY ANN T. WICK, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 7119 KEVIN T. MALONEY, IDAHO STATE BAR NO. 5095 ASSISTANTS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY DISTRICT OF IDAHO 801 E. SHERMAN AVE SUITE 192 POCATELLO, ID 83201 TELEPHONE: (208) 478-4166

TELEPHONE: (208) 478-4166 FACSIMILE: (208) 478-4175

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 995 HOMER AVE., IDAHO FALLS, IDAHO, APN: RPA1800003004O (Record Owner: Stanley G. Gallegos).

Defendant.

Case No. CV-

STIPULATION FOR JUDGMENT, DECREE OF FORFEITURE, AND CERTIFICATE OF REASONABLE CAUSE

Plaintiff, United States of America, Bart M. Davis, United States Attorney, and the undersigned Assistants United States Attorney for the District of Idaho (hereafter the "United States"), and the potential Claimant Stanley G. Gallegos ("Claimant"), through his attorney, Paul Ziel, (collectively, the "Parties") and hereby stipulate and agree as follows:

- 1. This civil in rem action relates to a criminal case against Stanley G. Gallegos ("Claimant") and forfeiture allegations in the criminal case titled *United States v. Stanley Gallegos*, Case No CR-18-110-E-DCN "Criminal Case"). Claimant's criminal liability will be resolved pursuant to his Plea Agreement in the Criminal Case.
- 2. Claimant's Plea Agreement in the Criminal Case included an agreement to the civil forfeiture of the following (the Defendant Property"):

Real property located at 995 Homer Ave., Idaho Falls, Idaho, and more particularly described as follows (APN: RPA1800003004O):

Lot 4, Block 3, Packer Addition, Division No. 1, to the City of Idaho Falls, Bonneville County, Idaho, according to the official plat recorded March 21, 1956 as Recorder's Number 243763

- 3. The purpose of the Verified Complaint In Rem (the "Complaint") and this Stipulation For Judgment, Decree of Forfeiture, and Certificate of Reasonable Cause (the "Stipulation") in this civil in rem action is to resolve Claimant's potential interest, as an owner or third party Claimant of the Defendant Property subject to forfeiture.
  - 4. The Defendant Property is the defendant in rem in this civil action.
- 5. The Parties make this Stipulation to settle any claims of interest of Claimant to the Defendant Property.
- 6. This agreement also resolves and prohibits any potential interest or claim, of or by, Claimant, his representatives, or any third party on his behalf, in any forfeiture proceeding, whether criminal or civil, in, or related to, the Criminal Case. Claimant, either directly or indirectly through a representative of third party, agrees that he will not file any claim, objection, or appeal, to any future forfeiture action arising out of, or related to, the Criminal Case.

- 7. The Claimant admits the facts in the Criminal Case as noted in his Plea

  Agreement. The Parties agree that these facts establish a nexus between the Defendant Property
  and violations of federal law, as set forth in the Complaint. The Parties agree that this nexus is
  sufficient to justify forfeiture of the Defendant Property listed in the Complaint.
- 8. Claimant hereby agrees to forfeit any and all interest he may have in the Defendant Property. Such forfeiture shall occur by entry of Judgment, Decree of Forfeiture and Certificate of Reasonable Cause, forfeiting such property to the United States, and no property right or interest therein shall exist as to any other person or entity. Said property, upon forfeiture, shall be disposed according to law.
- 9. Claimant agrees that the Complaint establishes a sufficient legal basis for the forfeiture.
- 10. Claimant hereby represents that he is the sole and exclusive owner of the Defendant Property.
- 11. Claimant further represents that he has received adequate notice and process herein.
- 12. The Parties agree that the United States had reasonable cause for the commencement of this action, and for the seizure and forfeiture of the Defendant Property herein, and the Parties stipulate to entry of a certificate of reasonable cause according to 28 U.S.C. § 2465.
- 13. Claimant hereby releases and forever discharges the United States, its officers, agents, servants and employees, including heirs, successors, or assigns, from any and all actions (related to forfeiture or not), suits, proceedings, debts, dues, contracts, judgment, damages, claims and/or demands whatsoever in law or in equity which Claimant, his heirs, successors, or

assigns had, have, or may have in connection with the commencement of the Criminal Action, the commencement of this action, or the seizure, detention or forfeiture of the Defendant Property.

- 14. Claimant further agrees to hold and save harmless the United States, its servants, employees, heirs, successors, or assigns harmless from any claims by any others including costs and expenses for on or account of any and all lawsuits or claims of any character whatsoever, in connection with the forfeiture.
- 15. Claimant waives any right to appeal or collaterally attack the judgment in this matter.
  - 16. Each of the Parties to this action shall bear its own costs and fees.

    DATED this day of December, 2018.

BART M. DAVIS UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By:

ANN T. WICK

Assistant United States Attorney

KEVIN T. MALONEY

Assistant United States Attorney

STANLEY G. GALLEGÓS

Claimant

PAUL ZIEL

Attorney for Claimant

JS 44 (Rev. 09/11)

# **CIVIL COVER SHEET**

The JS 44 civil coversheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States inSeptember 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS UNITED STATES of AMERICA				DEFENDANTS					
				REAL PROPERTY LOCATED AT 995 HOMER AVE., IDAHO FALLS					
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Bonneville					
(E	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA	(SES)		NOTE:	IN LAND C	PLAINTIFF CASES ( ONDEMNATION C F OF LAND INVOL	ASES, USE THE	LOCATION OF	
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Kevin T. Maloney, AUSA 800 Park Blvd., Suite 600, Boise, ID 83712 208-334-1211				Attorneys (If Known)					
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place an "X"	in One Box Only)		TIZENSHIP OF		AL PARTIES			
☑ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff	<ul> <li>3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)</li> </ul>		·	(For Diversity Cases Only	PTF DEF				
☐ 2 U.S. Government Defendant	☐ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)		Citize	n of Another State	□ 2	Incorporated and P of Business In A		<b>5 5 5</b>	
				n or Subject of a eign Country	□ 3 □ 3	Foreign Nation		<b>0</b> 6 <b>0</b> 6	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		nly) RTS	I	REEITURE/PENALTS	Y BAN	KRUPTCY	T OTHER	STATUTES	
☐ 110 Insurance ☐ 120 Marine ☐ 130 Miller Act ☐ 140 Negotiable Instrument ☐ 150 Recovery of Overpayment	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane  315 Airplane Product Liability  320 Assault, Libel &	PERSONAL INJUR  365 Personal Injury Product Liability Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability Personal Injury Product Liability PERSONAL PROPEI 370 Other Fraud 371 Truth in Lending 380 Other Personal Property Damage 70 1855 Property Damage Product Liability PRISONER PETTIO 510 Motions to Vacat Sentence Habeas Corpus: 530 General 535 Death Penalty 540 Mandamus & Ot 550 Civil Rights 550 Civil Detaince Conditions of	al	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 88 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Mgmt. Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Empl. Ret. Inc. Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Applicat 3 Habeas Corpus - Alien Detainee (Prisoner Petition) 5 Other Immigration	422 Appe	422 Appeal 28 USC 158   423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157   PROPERTY RIGHTS   820 Copyrights   830 Patent   840 Trademark   SOCIAL SECURITY   861 HIA (1395ft)   862 Black Lung (923)   863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))   864 SSID Title XVI   865 RSI (405(g))   FEDERAL TAX SUITS   870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)   871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609		OTHER STATUTES  375 False Claims Act 400 State Reapportionment 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 700 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information Act 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
<b>Ճ</b> 1 Original □ 2 Re		Confinement  Remanded from CAppellate Court	J 4 Reins Reop	stated or $\Box$ 3 and	ansferred from other district	☐ 6 Multidistri	ict		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO	ON Cite the U.S. Civil State 18 U.S.C. Sec. 2 Brief description of ca	tute under which you a 254 - civil forfeiture	re filing (i	Do not cite jurisdictional erty related to sex	<i>l statutes unless d</i> xual exploita	iversity): tion of children			
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	CHECK IF THIS UNDER F.R.C.P.	IS A CLASS ACTION 23	Ŋ DI	EMAND \$		HECK YES only URY DEMAND:	if demanded in  Tes	complaint:	
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	$\mathbf{E}(\mathbf{S})$ (See instructions): JUDGE Honorable		e David (	C. Nye	DOCKE	DOCKET NUMBER CR18-110-E-DCN			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT			*******				
01/07/2019 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		Kevin T. Malor	ney /<	7/2_					
RECEIPT# AM	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	:	MAG. JUE	OGE		